I came to CTM, as this book is familiarly known, with a deep appreciation for the innovative contribution Peter Van Roy made towards implementing logic programming systems in the early 1990s. It is good to see him and his collaborators continue to push the frontiers of this tradition, and making that work accessible to the masses through this book.

CTM is of similar stock to such rigorous introductory textbooks as the classics by Abelson and Sussman (Abelson & Sussman, 1996) and Bird and Wadler (Bird & Wadler, 1988), and is significantly less formal than any of Dijkstra’s classics (Dijkstra, 1976). In contrast to these texts, the main theme of the book is concurrency from a systems engineering perspective, culminating in discussions of three application domains: graphical user interfaces, distributed programming and constraint programming. The reader is expected to have a reasonable grasp of the basic techniques of sequential programming, and so this book complements most other in-depth programming texts.

The target audience, late-undergraduate or early-postgraduate students, may find some of the introductory material a bit patronising. It is unfortunate that while the book is substantially about concurrency, it is itself mostly sequential-access: the reader will find it necessary to carefully peruse these early sections in order to grasp the syntax and semantics of Mozart/Oz, the programming language at the centre of the CTM world view. Some of this tedium is alleviated by the delightful ease of experimenting with the mature Mozart implementation.

Formal operational semantics are provided for the various “kernel languages” that are used to explain language features, ultimately collected and distilled in the relatively technical Chapter 13. Readers of TaPL (Pierce, 2002) will be familiar with this approach, although here the semantics is given in the style of a concurrent constraint language (Saraswat, 1993). By itself it would be difficult to credit these sections as a sufficiently broad introduction to programming language semantics, for no properties are established. Also it is unfortunate that the Hoare logic so clearly presented in Chapter 6 is not formally related to the ongoing operational story.

At the core of the Oz approach is the dataflow variable (also known as the declarative variable), an object that can be declared in one scope and bound in another. Prolog programmers will be on familiar ground with their use in difference structures (Section 3.4.4), and in the underpinnings of the declarative concurrency development (Section 4.3). While this style of concurrency requires linguistic support to be completely natural, there are library-based implementations in various languages that embody the abstraction.
CTM has the clearest presentation of declarative programming (broadly taken) that I have yet found; the benefits for program structure and reasoning are strongly articulated and beautifully illustrated, and the limitations are carefully teased out. The presentation of declarative concurrency is a highlight of the book, and as the authors observe, deserves to be much more widely understood and applied. To a functional programmer it is somewhat reminiscent of coming to terms with the lazy functional programming tradition’s attachment to purity (Peyton Jones, 2003).

The book continues with more traditional forms of concurrency, where threads communicate by passing messages or sharing state, with dire warnings about the difficulties in doing the later. There are extended discussions of object-oriented and relational programming before the three case studies are presented.

As Oz is a dynamically typed language, types get short shrift. I found this to be somewhat of a hole in the promise to cover all major concepts and paradigms, despite the occasional nod to the typeful community. Perhaps the biggest problem with not having an explicit language for types is the difficulty this causes in discussing them; some interfaces would be a lot easier to fathom if a signature with types could be used, instead of a page or so of text. This perspective is tacitly acknowledged in Section 3.4.1, which informally presents a notation similar to ubiquitous Algebraic Data Types for the purposes of explaining recursion over structured data.

I observe that those who do want a statically typed language with similar features to Oz can investigate Alice ML (Alice ML, 2007), which also runs on the Mozart system. There promises stand in for dataflow variables, and the base language is Standard ML.

A central tenet of CTM is that a multi-paradigm language is of great utility, almost necessity, in writing large pieces of software. While this approach allows the authors to express a wide variety of concepts in a series of closely related kernel languages, it also implies that traditions lose their moorings to some extent. For example, those who are accustomed to typeful thinking or top-down design may wish that discussions of examples started with ontological rather than algorithmic concerns (such as the part on transactions, Section 8.5), and to be able to determine that a piece of code is declarative without having to examine it in its entirety. It also leads to a certain amount of semantic hair splitting over how faithfully the paradigms are presented.

Similarly there are limits to how far any given paradigm can be naturally expressed in Oz; for example, CTM gives a good overview of higher-order programming (Section 3.6) but does not use the technique as pervasively as a functional programmer would; there is no development of parsing combinators, monads, continuations and so forth. This partially reflects the authors’ biases, and that Oz, to a similar but lesser extent than C++, allows but does not encourage the use of high-order idioms; currying is manual, function abstraction is a tad verbose, the type system provides little assistance, and as observed above, effects are unencapsulated.

This approach also leads to long threads of discussion that span several chapters, such as the presentation of the various notions of interfaces and implementations. Ultimately the authors are to be applauded for placing these topics in a common language, but the structure of the book limits its utility as a reference work. This is partially remedied by the historical perspectives given in some chapters.
One might quibble over how strongly the multi-paradigm approach is validated by CTM, given that several application domains – artificial intelligence, compilers and language processors, numerical algorithms, sophisticated data structures, to name a few – are not treated. I readily grant that there is a water-tight omitted-for-reasons-of-space argument that can be marshalled here.

The overarching achievement of this book is to be so provocative that one wants to engage the authors in debate about almost everything they say. Partly this is due to the chirpy writing style that gives one the feeling that the authors would much prefer to be pair-programming with oneself and each of the other readers than using this unidirectional medium. Also this is partly because some of their arguments are difficult to evaluate, but mostly it is their delicious iconoclasm. Take, for example, their assertion that state is necessary for modularity (Section 4.8.2):

[Internmenting a program.] We would like to knoe how many times some of its subcomponents are invoked. We would like to add counters to these subcomponents, preferably without changing either the subcomponent interfaces or the rest of the program. If the program is declarative, this is impossible, since the only way is to thread an accumulator through the program.

The proposed solution runs entirely against the orthodoxy that compositionality is necessary for modularity, but it is persuasive. Much to their credit, the authors have robustly defended this view and others in several online forums, and have shown they are prepared to accept and develop viewpoints distinct from their own.

In closing, I must ask the inevitable rhetorical questions: would CTM have been better as a series of smaller works? – and when will we see a book-length treatment of secure distributed systems programming in Mozart/Oz?

*Thanks to Tim Bourke, Grégoire Hamon, Ben Lippmeier and Bernie Pope for helpful feedback on this review.*
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