Chapter One
Introduction

For those of us who have been on a spiritual path for a while, there often arises a strong desire to try to make a meaningful difference in the world around us. But this outwardly directed urge to service is often dampened when we remember Gandhi’s famous saying that “we must become the change we want to see in the world,” which inevitably leads back to the task of working on ourselves. Nevertheless, those who have managed to make a positive difference in the world (like Gandhi) have always intrigued me. I’ve always had a passionate interest in the times in history that were marked by dramatic advances. This led me to closely study these periods in which significant cultural evolution—improvement of the human condition—had occurred, searching for clues as to what had actually triggered and sustained this growth.

As I look back on it now, I can see that this interest in cultural evolution has been a major theme in my life; it has determined most of my life choices from an early age. Through my study of history, I initially concluded that art was one of the primary levers of social progress. Art had marked the advances of the Renaissance, and in my own lifetime, music had played a significant role in producing cultural evolution. Thus for a long time I concentrated on studying art movements and their role in changing the way people felt and thought about the world. But not only did I study the art movements of the past, I also tried to anticipate the art movements of the future. I experimented with new forms of art, I tried to discern the tenets of an emerging new aesthetic—I even started a company with the intention of participating in what seemed at the time to be a significant new art movement. Although this anticipated art movement has yet to
fully materialize, these efforts weren’t in vain. The company is going strong. But
more importantly, this search for the causes of cultural evolution led me to the
discovery of a new way of seeing things best described as integral philosophy.

Integral philosophy is a new understanding of how the influences of
evolution affect the development of consciousness and culture. Although aspects
of it have been around for a long time, it’s only since the late 1990s that the
essential elements of integral philosophy have been coming together into a
coherent whole. The power of this new philosophy becomes self-evident to those
who use it because it actually raises their consciousness. It’s a philosophy of
evolution that literally causes evolution. This book, however, is not so much
about a philosophy as it is about the results of this philosophy—the newly
emerging worldview known as integral consciousness.

In this book I argue that a new, historically significant “level” of
consciousness and culture is emerging in our time, and that the emergence of this
new integral worldview is in many ways the evolutionary equivalent of the
emergence of the modernist worldview during the period known as the
Enlightenment of the 17th and 18th centuries. And just as the rise of modernism
changed the world forever, we can expect similar (but more benign) progress
from the rise of integralism. “Integral consciousness” is a new perspective on the
world that expands our perception of reality and provides fresh motivation to
make a positive difference. This new way of seeing and living arises from an
enlarged set of values framed by an expanded understanding of cultural
evolution.

As we will come to see, when we participate in integral consciousness,
when we make meaning from the perspective of the integral worldview and adopt
its values, we become endowed with the power to make significant progress in the
improvement of both our selves and conditions in our world. Integral
consciousness thus promises to produce exactly the kind of evolution that the
world needs most. As we consider what form a successful future might take, we
begin to realize that without some kind of positive cultural evolution, the future
of humanity looks pretty bleak. However, as I will argue in the pages ahead,
history shows that cultural evolution has indeed occurred in the past, and the
same forces that caused evolution then can thus be reasonably expected to continue into the future. And now with integral philosophy we are provided with a clearer view of how future cultural evolution is likely to unfold and how we can participate in it directly.

Integral philosophy has emerged out of the various efforts of 20th century thinkers to fashion a new philosophy that comes to terms with the staggering facts of evolution itself. Among these philosophers of evolution, two of the most significant are Pierre Teilhard de Chardin (1881–1955) and Alfred North Whitehead (1861–1947). However, despite the enduring contributions of Teilhard and Whitehead, integral philosophy is much more than just a contemporary understanding of these great thinkers. Because these philosophers worked in the first half of the 20th century, they were not informed by the more recent insights of systems science and developmental psychology (nor were they informed by each other). Moreover, although the work of Teilhard and Whitehead was far ahead of its time, it came before the significant cultural evolution produced by the emergence of postmodernism. The word “postmodern,” of course, is a battleground of meaning. But I use this term to describe the overall stage of cultural evolution that has arisen in the last fifty years as an alternative to modernism (which I also define and describe in the pages ahead). As we will see, integral philosophy could not have appeared in its current form before now; its power rests on a host of important insights and developments that have only recently emerged. Today, the most significant proponent of integral philosophy is Ken Wilber, but important contributions are also being made by others, including Don Beck. A summary of the ideas of these writers, together with an overview of the broader lineage of integral philosophy, is found in chapter 7 entitled: The Founders of Integral Philosophy.
Chapter Four
The Integral Stage of Consciousness

Within progressive culture as a whole there is a deep yearning for some kind of large-scale social awakening. Many are stirred by the poverty of values they see all around them and long for some kind of breakthrough that will pull us out of what often seems like a hopeless mess. Yet many of those who call for such a great awakening vaguely envision it as a kind of miraculous revolution, a crisis-induced change of heart that will bring us all together through a new vision of our essential unity within the greater earth community. Such sentimental hopes for global transformation are indeed charming, but hardly realistic. While it is realistic to recognize that some kind of significant transcendence is now required, it is not realistic to assume that a revolutionary change would produce the kind of sustainable advance that our civilization requires. To be sustainable, the transformation we seek must come about as a result of evolution, not revolution. However, when we look closely and carefully at the historical phenomenon of cultural evolution through the lens of integral philosophy, we can begin to see what can be expected next. The cultural evolution that we need will come to us as it has always come before: in the form of a new values-based worldview forged through the interpenetration of increasing problems and newly perceived opportunities.

Although postmodernism’s contribution to humanity’s evolution is not yet complete, there are many signs that it is reaching maturity as a level of culture. So as we look beyond postmodernism in anticipation of the bound-to-be-thrilling history of the 21st century, we can begin to see the contours of the next emerging wave of consciousness and culture. The integral worldview, which we now explore in detail, represents a transcendence of postmodernism because it does what postmodernism cannot: it fully recognizes the legitimacy and evolutionary necessity of all previous stages of development. Integral consciousness thus grows up by reaching down. It produces evolution more effectively because it
understands evolution more thoroughly. And as we come to better appreciate the subtle habits and methods of evolution—its gentle persuasion, and the way that it grows from within itself, always building on what came before—we can begin to see how the degree of our transcendence is determined by the scope of our inclusion.

Like each of the previously arising, historically significant worldviews, integral consciousness is emerging in response to what might be characterized as a “push” and a “pull.” As we’ve seen, the push toward a new stage of consciousness comes from the pressure of unsatisfactory life conditions and the accumulating pathologies of previously existing stages. The pull arises from the attraction power of a new stage’s fresh values—new truth, new beauty, and new ideals of morality that always accompany the birth of a new historical level. So first we’ll look at the push toward integral consciousness, and then we’ll examine the pull of the values of the integral worldview.

/snip/
And as human consciousness advances within the developing noosphere, the corresponding development of human social artifacts 'stand in' for the lack of significant biological development, thereby supporting the growth of consciousness with artificial complexity. (italics in original). p.333

NOTES. [1] Steve McIntosh, Integral Consciousness and The Future of Evolution: How the Integral Worldview is Transforming Politics, Culture, and Spirituality, Paragon House, 2007. Conscious evolution refers to the theoretical ability of human beings to be conscious participants in the evolution of their cultures, or even of the entirety of human society, based on a relatively recent combination of factors, including increasing awareness of cultural and social patterns, reaction against perceived problems with existing patterns, injustices, inequities, and other factors. The realization that cultural and social evolution can be guided through conscious decisions has been in