

# American Political Parties

## L32 5225

[montgomery.wustl.edu/ps5225.html](http://montgomery.wustl.edu/ps5225.html)

Day: Mondays  
Time: 4:00 – 6:00  
Location: TBD

### Instructor Information

Jacob M. Montgomery, Ph.D.  
Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science  
Office: Seigle 242  
E-mail: [jacob.montgomery@wustl.edu](mailto:jacob.montgomery@wustl.edu)  
Telephone: (314) 935-9106  
Office Hours: Tues. 9:30-10:30, Wed. 1:30-3:00, and by appointment

### Course Description

This seminar will introduce students to core literature on political parties with a strong bias towards recent work. After first surveying research on the role of parties in the American political system from the broadest perspective, the class will cover topics in two loosely defined areas. First, it will explore the role that parties play in public opinion, political behavior, and elections. Second, it will examine party institutions in their interactions with elected officials and other political elites.

The course will be seminar-style. The ultimate goal is to introduce students to new areas of research and further students' own research agenda. Accordingly, students will be given a free hand in choosing topics of particular interest to themselves for additional reading and further research.

### Requirements and Evaluation

There are four components of student evaluation in this course.

1. *Class participation (20%)*. Class sessions will be in a seminar style and students are expected to do required readings before the class and come prepared to both ask questions and offer opinion on the work they have read.
2. *Discussion leadership (15%)*: Each student will be asked to sign up as a "discussion leader" for one week. For this class session, the student is expected to pay special attention to the required readings and come prepared to help lead class discussion.
3. *Two response papers (25%)*: Twice during the semester students will be expected to choose work from the supplemental reading list and compose an analytical response (approx. 5 pages). This paper should be roughly five pages, and no more than one page may include summary information. Students will be asked to informally explain their chosen supplemental readings and their critique in class. Students are encouraged, in consultation with

me, to go beyond the reading list and incorporate additional work if this would make the exercise more relevant to their own research agenda.

4. *Research paper (40%)*: An original research paper that (hopefully) furthers students' own research agenda. This paper (or preliminary results from it) will be presented in the final weeks of the course.

## Required Books

The required books for this class are listed below. For some books, only a subset of chapters will be assigned. I have tried to put all of these books on reserve in the library. The Grynaviski book is still pretty pricey and The Mickey book doesn't appear to actually be available yet. We can discuss those two during the first class.

- *Why Parties?: A Second Look* (Aldrich 2011)
- *Dynamics of American Political Parties* (Brewer and Stonecash 2009)
- *Setting the Agenda: Responsible Party Government in the US House of Representatives* (Cox and McCubbins 2005)
- *The Macro Polity* (Erikson, MacKuen and Stimson 2002)
- *Partisan Hearts and Minds* (Green, Palmquist and Schickler 2002)
- *Partisan Bonds: A Unifying Account of Politicians, Political Parties, and their Reputations* (Grynaviski 2010)
- *Dynamics of the Party System: Alignment and Realignment of Political Parties in the United States* (Sundquist 1983)
- *Southern Politics in State and Nation* (Key 1949)
- *The Partisan Sort: How Liberals Became Democrats and Conservatives Became Republicans* (Levendusky 2009)
- *No Middle Ground: How Informal Party Organizations Control Nominations and Polarize Legislatures* (Masket 2009)
- *Paths Out of Dixie: The Democratization of Authoritarian Enclaves in America's Deep South* (Mickey 2011)
- *Party Influence in Congress* (Smith 2007)

## Academic Honesty

Cheating and plagiarism will not be tolerated. I strongly encourage you to review the University's policies regarding academic honesty.

## Tentative Schedule

Date	Topic	Reading Assignment
9/5	NO CLASS (Labor Day)	
9/12	Introduction	Aldrich (2011) Aldrich and Grynaviski (2010)
<b>Part I: The Big Picture</b>		
9/19	Party systems	Sundquist (1983) Silbey (2010)
9/26	Party dynamics	<i>Required</i> Brewer and Stonecash (2009) Brewer (2010)  <i>Supplemental</i> Key (1955, 1959) Layman et al. (2010) Miller and Schofield (2003) Aldrich (1983 <i>a,b</i> ) Kollman, Miller and Page (1992) Mayhew (2000, 2002) Rosenof (2003)
10/3	Parties and race	<i>Required</i> Key (1949) Chapters 1, 14, 16, 17, 23, 24, 30, 31 Mickey (2011) Chapters 1, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11  <i>Supplemental</i> Aldrich (2000) Karol (2009) Feinstein and Schickler (2008) Carmines and Stimson (1989, 1986, 1982)
<b>Part II: Parties and the Public</b>		
10/10	Party ID #1	<i>Required</i> Erikson, MacKuen and Stimson (2002), Chapters 1, 4-5, 8-10 Green, Palmquist and Schickler (2002) Chapters 1-5, 8  <i>Supplemental</i> MacKuen, Erikson and Stimson (1989) Green and Palmquist (1990, 1994) Green, Palmquist and Schickler (1998) Erikson, MacKuen and Stimson (1998) Huddy (2003) Campbell et al. (1960) Chapters 2,4,6-8

10/17 Party ID #2

*Required*

Levendusky (2009)  
Achen (2002)  
Carsey and Layman (2006)

*Supplemental*

Fiorina (2002)  
Bartels (2000)  
Achen (1992)

10/24 Party reputations

*Required*

Grynaviski (2010)

*Supplemental*

Snyder and Ting (2002)  
Levy (2004)  
Downs (1957)  
Taber (2003)  
Lodge and Taber (2005)  
Lau and Redlawsk (2001)  
Rahn (1993)  
Conover and Feldman (1989)

**Part III: Parties and elites**

10/31 Parties-in-government 1

*Required*

Cox and McCubbins (2005) Chapters 2, 3, 9, 10  
Aldrich and Rohde (2001)  
Rohde (2010)

*Supplemental*

Den Hartog and Monroe (2011)  
Sinclair (2010)  
Aldrich (1994)  
Patty (2008)

11/7 Parties-in-government 2

*Required*

Smith (2007)  
Primo, Binder and Maltzman (2008)

*Supplemental*

Krehbiel (1993)  
Cox and Poole (2002)  
Jenkins (1999)  
Wright and Schaffner (2002)  
Lawrence, Maltzman and Smith (2006)  
Krehbiel (1998)  
Milkis and Rhodes (2010)

11/14	Parties-as-organization	<p><i>Required</i></p> <p>Herrnson (2010)</p> <p>Masket (2009)</p> <p><i>Supplemental</i></p> <p>Cohen et al. (2008)</p> <p>Koger, Masket and Noel (2009)</p> <p>Noel (2010)</p> <p>Monroe (2001)</p> <p>Masket (2007)</p> <p>Magleby (2010)</p> <p>Montgomery and Nyhan (2011)</p>
11/21	Parties & ideology	<p><i>Required</i></p> <p>Lee (2009)</p> <p><i>Supplemental</i></p> <p>Hinich and Munger (1996)</p> <p>Denzau and North (1994)</p> <p>Gerring (2001)</p> <p>Noel (2007) or similar</p>
11/28	Student presentations	
12/5	Student presentations	
TBA	Paper due on date of final	

---

## References

- Achen, Christopher H. 1992. "Social Psychology, Demographic Variables, and Linear Regression: Breaking the Iron Triangle in Voting Research." *Political Behavior* 14:195–211.
- Achen, Christopher H. 2002. "Parental Socialization and Rational Party Identification." *Political Behavior* 24(2):151–170.
- Aldrich, J.H. 2000. "Southern Parties in State and Nation." *The Journal of Politics* 62(03):643–670.
- Aldrich, John. 1983a. "A Downsian Spatial Model with Party Activists." *American Political Science Review* 77(4):974–990.
- Aldrich, John H. 1983b. "A Spatial Model with Party Activists: Implications for Electoral Dynamics." *Public Choice* 41(4):63–100.
- Aldrich, John H. 1994. "A Model of a Legislature with Two Parties and a Committee System." *Legislative Studies Quarterly* 14(3):313–339.
- Aldrich, John H. 2011. *Why Parties?: A Second Look*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Aldrich, John H. and David W. Rohde. 2001. The Logic of Conditional Party Government: Revisiting the Electoral Connection. In *Congress Reconsidered*, ed. Lawrence C. Dodd and Bruce I. Oppenheimer. Washington, D.C.: CQ Press.
- Aldrich, John H. and Jeffrey D. Gynaviski. 2010. Theories of Parties. In *The Oxford Handbook of American Political Parties and Interest Groups*, ed. L. Sandy Maisel and Jeffrey M. Berry. New York: Oxford University Press pp. 21–36.
- Bartels, Larry M. 2000. "Partisanship and Voting Behavior, 1952-1996." *American Journal of Political Science* 44(1):35–30.
- Brewer, Mark D. 2010. The Evolution and Alteration of American Party Coalitions. In *The Oxford Handbook of American Political Parties and Interest Groups*, ed. L. Sandy Maisel and Jeffrey M. Berry. New York: Oxford University Press pp. 121–142.
- Brewer, Mark D. and Jeffrey M. Stonecash. 2009. *Dynamics of American Political Parties*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Campbell, Angus, Philip E. Converse, Warren E. Miller and Donald E. Stokes. 1960. *The American Voter*. New York: Wiley.
- Carmines, Edward G. and James A. Stimson. 1982. "Racial Issues and the Structure of Mass Belief Systems." *Journal of Politics* 44:2–20.
- Carmines, Edward G. and James A. Stimson. 1986. "The Structure and Sequence of Issue Evolution." *American Political Science Review* 80:901–920.
- Carmines, Edward G. and James A. Stimson. 1989. *Issue Evolution: Race and the Transformation of American Politics*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Carsey, Thomas .M. and Geoffrey C. Layman. 2006. "Changing Sides or Changing Minds? Party Conversion, Issue Conversion, and Partisan Change on the Abortion Issue." *American Journal of Political Science* 50(2):464–77.
- Cohen, Marty, David Karol, Hans Noel and John Zaller. 2008. *The Party Decides: Presidential Nominations Before and after Reform*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Conover, Pamela J. and Stanley Feldman. 1989. "Candidate Perception in an Ambiguous World: Campaigns, Cues, and Inference Processes." *American Political Science Review* 33(4):912–940.
- Cox, Gary W. and Keith T. Poole. 2002. "On Measuring Partisanship in Roll-Call Voting: The US House of Representatives, 1877-1999." *American Journal of Political Science* 46(3):477–489.
- Cox, Gary W. and Matthew D. McCubbins. 2005. *Setting the Agenda: Responsible Party Government in the US House of Representatives*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Den Hartog, Chris and Nathan W. Monroe. 2011. *Agenda Setting in the U.S. Senate: Costly Consideration and Majority Party Advantage*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Denzau, Arthur T. and Douglas C. North. 1994. "Shared Mental Models: Ideologies and Institutions." *Kyklos* 47(1):3–31.
- Downs, Anthony. 1957. *An Economic Theory of Democracy*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Erikson, Robert S., Michael B. MacKuen and James A. Stimson. 1998. "What Moves Macropartisanship? A Reply to Green, Palmquist, and Schickler." *American Political Science Review* 92:901–912.
- Erikson, Robert S., Michael B. MacKuen and James A. Stimson. 2002. *The Macro Polity*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Feinstein, Brian D. and Eric Schickler. 2008. "Platforms and Partners: The Civil Rights Realignment Reconsidered." *Studies in American Political Development* 22(1):1–31.
- Fiorina, Morris P. 2002. "Parties and Partisanship: A 40-year Retrospective." *Political Behavior* 24(2):93–115.
- Gerring, John. 2001. *Party Ideologies in America, 1828-1996*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Green, Donald Philip and Bradley Palmquist. 1990. "Of Artifacts and Partisan Instability." *American Journal of Political Science* 34:872–902.
- Green, Donald Philip and Bradley Palmquist. 1994. "How Stable is Party Identification?" *Political Behavior* 16:437–466.
- Green, Donald Phillip, Bradley Palmquist and Eric Schickler. 1998. "Macropartisanship: A Repliation and Critique." *American Political Science Review* pp. 883–899.
- Green, Donald Phillip, Bradley Palmquist and Eric Schickler. 2002. *Partisan Hearts and Minds*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Grynaviski, Jeffrey. 2010. *Partisan Bonds: A Unifying Account of Politicians, Political Parties, and their Reputations*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Herrnson, Paul S. 2010. The Evolution of National Party Organizations. In *The Oxford Handbook of American Political Parties and Interest Groups*, ed. L. Sandy Maisel and Jeffrey M. Berry. New York: Oxford University Press pp. 245–264.
- Hinich, Melvin J. and Michael C. Munger. 1996. *Ideology and the Theory of Political Choice*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Huddy, Leonie. 2003. Group Identity and Political Cohesion. In *Oxford Handbook of Political Psychology*, ed. David O. Sears, Leonie Huddy and Robert Jervis. New York: Oxford.
- Jenkins, Jefery A. 1999. "Examining the Bonding Effects of Party: A Comparative Analysis of Roll-Call Voting in the U.S. and Confederate Houses." *American Journal of Political Science* 43(4):1144–1165.
- Karol, David. 2009. *Party Position Change in American Politics: Coalition Management*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Key, V.O. 1949. *Southern Politics in State and Nation*. New York: A. Knopf.
- Key, V.O. 1955. "A Theory of Critical Elections." *Journal of Politics* 17(1):3–18.
- Key, V.O. 1959. "Secular Realignment and the Party System." *Journal of Politics* 21:198–210.
- Koger, Gregory, Seth Masket and Hans Noel. 2009. "Partisan Webs: Information Exchange and Party Networks." *British Journal of Political Science* 39(3):633–653.
- Kollman, Ken, John H. Miller and Scott E. Page. 1992. "Adaptive Parties in Spatial Elections." *American Political Science Review* 86(4):929–937.
- Krehbiel, K. 1993. "Where's the Party?" *British Journal of Political Science* pp. 235–266.
- Krehbiel, Keith. 1998. *Pivotal Politics: A Theory of U.S. Lawmaking*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lau, Richard R. and David P. Redlawsk. 2001. "Advantages and Disadvantages of Cognitive Heuristics in Political Decision Making." *American Journal of Political Science* 45(4):951–971.
- Lawrence, Eric D., Forrest Maltzman and Steven S. Smith. 2006. "Who wins? Party effects in legislative voting." *Legislative Studies Quarterly* 31(1):33–69.
- Layman, Geoffrey C., Thomas M. Carsey, John C. Green, Rrichard Herrera and Rosalyn Cooperman. 2010. "Activists and Conflict Extension in American Party Politics." *American Political Science Review* 104(2):324–346.
- Lee, Frances E. 2009. *Beyond Ideology: Politics, Principles, and Partisanship in the U.S. Senate*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Levendusky, Matthew. 2009. *The Partisan Sort: How Liberals Became Democrats and Conservatives Became Republicans*. Chicago: University Of Chicago Press.
- Levy, Gilat. 2004. "A Model of Political Parties." *Journal of Economic Theory* 155:250–77.



- Lodge, Milton and Charles S. Taber. 2005. "The Automaticity of Affect for Political Leaders, Groups, and Issues: An Experimental Test of the Hot Cognition Hypothesis." *Political Psychology* 26(3):455–482.
- MacKuen, Michael B., Robert S. Erikson and James A. Stimson. 1989. "Macropartisanship." *American Political Science Review* 83:1125–1142.
- Magleby, David B. 2010. Political Parties and Consultants. In *The Oxford Handbook of American Political Parties and Interest Groups*, ed. L. Sandy Maisel and Jeffrey M. Berry. New York: Oxford University Press pp. 303–322.
- Masket, Seth E. 2007. "It Takes an Outsider: Extralegislatve Organization and Partisanship in the California Assembly, 1849-2006." *American Journal of Political Science* 51(3):482–497.
- Masket, Seth E. 2009. *No Middle Ground: How Informal Party Organizations Control Nominations and Polarize Legislatures*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Mayhew, David R. 2000. "Electoral Realignments." *Annual Review of Political Science* 3(1):449–474.
- Mayhew, David R. 2002. *American Electoral Realignments: A Critique of the Genre*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Mickey, Robert. 2011. *Paths Out of Dixie: The Democratization of Authoritarian Enclaves in America's Deep South*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Milkis, Sidney M. and Jesse H. Rhodes. 2010. The President, Party Politics, and Constitutional Development. In *The Oxford Handbook of American Political Parties and Interest Groups*, ed. L. Sandy Maisel and Jeffrey M. Berry. New York: Oxford University Press pp. 377–402.
- Miller, Gary and Norman Schofield. 2003. "Activists and Partisan Realignment in the United States." *American Political Science Review* 97(2):245–260.
- Monroe, J.P. 2001. *The Political Party Matrix: The Persistence of Organization*. Albany, NY: State Univ of New York Press.
- Noel, Hans. 2007. "Listening to the Coalition Merchants: Measuring the Intellectual Influence of Academic Scribblers." *The Forum* 5(3):7.
- Noel, Hans. 2010. Methodological Issues in the Study of Political Parties. In *The Oxford Handbook of American Political Parties and Interest Groups*, ed. L. Sandy Maisel and Jeffrey M. Berry. Oxford University Press.
- Patty, John W. 2008. "Equilibrium Party Government." *American Journal of Political Science* 52(3):636–655.
- Primo, David M., Sarah A. Binder and Forrest Maltzman. 2008. "Who Consents? Competing Pivots in Federal Judicial Selection." *American Journal of Political Science* 52(3):471–489.
- Rahn, Wendy M. 1993. "The Role of Partisan Stereotypes in Information Processing about Political Candidates." *American Journal of Political Science* 37(2):472–496.

- Rohde, David W. 2010. What a Difference Twenty-Five Years Makes: Changing Perspectives on Parties and Leaders in the US House. In *The Oxford Handbook of American Political Parties and Interest Groups*, ed. L. Sandy Maisel and Jeffrey M. Berry. New York: Oxford University Press pp. 323–338.
- Rosenof, Theodore. 2003. *Realignment: The Theory that Changed the Way We Think about American Politics*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Silbey, Joel H. 2010. American Political Parties: History, Voters, Critical Elections, and Party Systems. In *The Oxford Handbook of American Political Parties and Interest Groups*, ed. L. Sandy Maisel and Jeffrey M. Berry. New York: Oxford University Press pp. 97–120.
- Sinclair, Barbara. 2010. Partisan Models and the Search for Party Effects in the US Senate. In *The Oxford Handbook of American Political Parties and Interest Groups*, ed. L. Sandy Maisel and Jeffrey M. Berry. New York: Oxford University Press pp. 339–357.
- Smith, Steven S. 2007. *Party Influence in Congress*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Snyder, James M. and Michael M. Ting. 2002. "An Informational Rationale for Political Parties." *American Journal of Political Science* 46(1):90–110.
- Sundquist, James L. 1983. *Dynamics of the Party System: Alignment and Realignment of Political Parties in the United States*. Revised edition ed. Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution.
- Taber, Charles S. 2003. Information Processing and Public Opinion. In *Oxford Handbook of Political Psychology*, ed. David O. Sears, Leonie Huddy and Robert Jervis. New York: Oxford.
- Wright, Gerald C. and Brian F. Schaffner. 2002. "The Influence of Party: Evidence from the State Legislatures." *American Political Science Review* 96(2):367–379.

Maisel L. Sandy (EN). Few Americans and even fewer citizens of other nations understand the electoral process in the United States. Still fewer understand the role played by political parties in the electoral process or the ironies within the system. Participation in elections in the United States is much lower than in the vast majority of mature democracies. Perhaps this is because of the lack of competition in a country where only two parties have a true chance of winning, despite the fact that a large number of citizens claim allegiance to neither and think badly of both. ©1993 The American Review of Politics. Political Parties and Political Mobilization. M. Margaret Conway, University of Florida. One of the tales told about American political parties is that Thomas Jefferson and James Madison, desiring to create an institution uniting supporters of their beliefs about the means and ends of government, set off from creating and maintaining effective political party organizations both in the government and in electoral constituencies, recruiting candidates committed to a set of policies, conducting campaigns to deliver the message to potential voters everywhere. The American Political Party, everywhere. 5 likes · 2 talking about this. Our political party system has failed us. Join the new revolution political... See more of The American Political Party on Facebook. Log In. or. Create New Account. See more of The American Political Party on Facebook. Log In. Forgot account?