

ETERNAL WAR AND FALSE FLAG TERROR

by Nicholas Kollerstrom, PhD

We must stress the idea, unfamiliar and suppressed as it is, that the vast majority of international terrorism conducted on a spectacular scale is indeed state-sponsored terrorism.' Webster Tarpley[1]



There now exists a main sequence of New - Millenium False-flag terror events, as follows:

Table 1: False Flag Terror

11/ 9/2001 New york
12/10/2002 Bali
20/11/2003 Istanbul
11/ 3/2004 Madrid
7/ 7/2005 London[2]
11/ 7/2006 Mumbai

- all having alleged Muslim perpetrators. For at least four of these events we can be confident that they are 'state-sponsored' and by that is meant, set up and created by the Axis of Evil CIA-Mossad-MI6. We cannot know to what extent one or other of these intelligence agencies are involved, as they are so closely interlinked. For the Istanbul and Mumbai bombings the evidence may be less clear. 9/11 established the image of Islamic terror, for a new kind of war, one 'that will not end in our lifetime' as Vice-President Cheney informed the American people – and, because this menace did not really exist, but was a mere phantom-illusion, a sequence of follow-up events was necessary to maintain its credibility. Al-Qaeda was put together in the late 1990s by the FBI, in a world where no Muslim group called themselves by that name.

We enter the new millennium with the US/UK fully dedicated to Eternal War – that is the reality now facing us. We know that the question is not, "Will there be peace?" but rather, "How soon with the US/UK initiate the next war?" Israel is a creation of these two nations and is likewise just as fervently dedicated to Eternal War, indeed may be said to have pioneered the concept. The new millennium saw the unfolding of a new enemy image [3]. Until 1991 the Red Menace had justified the vast military budgets – Russia being one of the few countries in Europe that had never threatened or attacked Britain in its entire history.

After 1991 a decade went by with no defined enemy-image, awkward for the military, because during this time the US/UK military budgets continued to increase. During this interlude, some important progenitor events were taking place, examples of what remains to ordinary folk unthinkable, viz that one's own government or renegade elements thereof has devised deliberate terror-events, viz. civilian assassination programs. Why would they want to do that? The following comprises a fairly minimal but essential list:

Table 2: Some pre 9/11 synthetic terror ops

Bologna, railway station 1980
New York, WTC 1993
London, Israel bombs its embassy 1994
Oklahoma, federal building 1995

Italy, 1980

The ultimate subject you never wanted to know about - you had wished to spend your life on more edifying matters - is, who plants the bombs? The solidly documented starting-point here has to be the August 1980 bombing of Bologna railway station. It was successful, in that, together with the kidnap and murder of the Prime minister Aldo Moro, it stopped the Italian Communist party from entering the government: that was its aim. It is assumed by those designing such events, that they can be blamed on left-wing groups or 'extremists' and will thereby consolidate the power of the right-wing, viz the Military / politicians, the 'Vampire elite' – i.e. those who control.[4] These are, we need to recognise, the Enemies of the Human Race.

Italy was about to choose to bring its own Communist Party into a coalition government. America did not wish this to happen - or, if you prefer, 'NATO' did not desire it. Incredible? Here are three quotes:

Webster Tarpley:

If the Italian left of the 1970s and the German left of the same period sympathised with the Red brigades or the Baader-Meinhof group/red army faction, they only showed their own gullibility, since both of these terrorist operations were created by and controlled by NATO intelligence.'[5] The Bologna bombing was 'the biggest terrorist attack in Europe before the Madrid train bombings of March, 2004.

Tony Gosling:

Hundreds of innocent people have been killed in false flag terrorist attacks, particularly in Belgium and Italy, where Prime Minister Aldo Moro was kidnapped then assassinated for inviting Communists to join the Italian Government. These attacks were blamed on leftist groups such as the Baader Meinhof, Red Brigades etc. which came out of the 1968 student uprisings.... Horrific unprovoked massacres at supermarkets and railway stations, no wonder people want to forget them. But we do so at our peril as they explain many oddities with terrorism 'spectaculars' today. 'Gladio' False Flag 'Strategy of Tension' attacks have been going on throughout Europe under the watch of NATO Intelligence's Clandestine Planning Committee (CPC) since the 1960's. It all became public with an Italian Parliamentary Enquiry in 1990 followed by one in Belgium. Make sure your local politicians, soldiers, journalists and police force are aware of Gladio, maybe by giving them a DVD copy of Allan Francovich's BBC Timewatch series.

Noam Chomsky:

Put briefly, the "stay-behind" armies of Western Europe – originally organized to fight in the event of World War III – morphed into substantial clandestine political forces with deep roots in European police and intelligence agencies. According to parliamentary investigations, the stay-behind veterans of Gladio appear to have made and broken governments. Elsewhere, they provided channels for intelligence operations and other relationships largely unknown to the elected leaders of a half dozen democratic states. This is important stuff.

'Gladio' acted as leverage for US foreign policy. It extinguished hope[6], ensuring that the populations of Europe would continue giving their surplus revenue to an ever-growing military, would continue to hate and fear the 'Enemy', would desire US military bases on their soil and would not elect unduly independent governments. Pause awhile to reflect on how Europe might have

developed, had Italy been allowed to incorporate its Communist Party into government. Italy would at last have been able to enjoy a stable government reflecting majority opinion, while the rest of Europe could have calmly seen how a 'communist' party worked and so would no longer have needed to hate and fear it – it would no longer have been the bogeyman 'over there.' Reds under the beds could have been exorcised and Europe might even have decided that it didn't need 'the Enemy.'

The whole story is now published as NATO's Secret Armies[7], or if you can't afford the £20 for this erudite text, a CD is available of Allan Francovich's three Timewatch 'Gladio' BBC documentaries of 1992, showing far-right NATO Special Forces gunning down and blowing up hundreds of innocent European civilians (Francovich died suddenly of a 'heart attack' in 1997 while entering the US).

'Gladio' is the precursor to the July 7th and Madrid bombs in Europe. www.vialls.com/myahudi/madrid.html [8] The killers are indeed establishing a new empire of darkness in which no-one will know the truth and everyone lives in fear. Orwell saw it all so clearly, in his '1984' novel:

In some ways she was far more acute than Winston, and far less susceptible to Party propaganda. Once when he happened in some connection to mention the war against Eurasia, she startled him by saying casually that in her opinion the war was not happening. The rocket bombs which fell daily on London were probably fired by the Government of Oceania itself, "just to keep the people frightened. [9]

As British MP Michael Meacher has perceptively written,

The "global war on terrorism" has the hallmarks of a political myth propagated to pave the way for a wholly different agenda - the US goal of world hegemony, built around securing by force command over the oil supplies required to drive the whole project. Is collusion in this myth and junior participation in this project really a proper aspiration for British foreign policy?

MI5 has behaved in a traitorous manner ever since it destabilised Harold Wilson's government, when the latter refused to send British troops to Vietnam[10]. A comprehensive history of MI6 concluded that 'the modern intelligence service's prime purpose appears to be to generate fears.'[11] How true! But our intelligence services do more than that: after all, the nightmares have to incarnate now and then, taking bodily form.

9/11 was the millennium-determining phoney event, unleashing the dark moira of America's destiny, in its dedication to Eternal War.[12] Seeking out its predecessors, we turn to the 1993 World Trade centre bombing and the 1995 Oklahoma Federal Building explosions. For both of these events the judge at the trial would only hear evidence concerning a single 'lone nut' who was accused,[13],[14] and so we will always lack adequate accounts of them (There is a fine book on these precursor-events by Len Bracken.[15]). The Oklahoma Federal building was demolished in the same way as the three World Trade Centre buildings six years later, viz. through detonation of bombs placed inside it. In both cases an outside agent was made responsible so that 'blame' could be allocated. The truck blast at Oklahoma (blamed on Timothy McVeigh[16]) could never have done more than shatter a few windows.

Table 2 includes Israel bombing its own embassy, well described in the Shayler & Machon book about what MI5 have been getting up to [17]. In 1994, Israel scored a propaganda victory by averring that certain Palestinian groups had attacked its London embassy[18].

Eternal War

As regards the racist enemy-imaging that now goes on almost daily in newspapers, let's consider the list of twenty-six nations bombed by America since WW2.

Table 3: Coming soon to a country near you Nations bombed by the US since WW2

Japan 1945[19]
China 1945, 50
Korea 1950
Guatemala 1954, 1960,
Indonesia 1958
Cuba 1959
Vietnam 1961
Congo 1964
Laos 1964
Peru 1965
Dominican Republic 1965
Cambodia 1969
El Salvador 1981
Nicaragua 1981
Lebanon 1983
Grenada 1983
Libya 1986, 89
Iran 1987
Panama 1989
Iraq 1991, 2003
Somalia 1992
Croatia 1994
Bosnia 1995
Sudan 1998
Former Yugoslavia 1999
Afghanistan 1998, 2001

Only one of these nations was white-skinned (Bosnia). Overwhelmingly, bomb-able nations have been of a darker skin colour. The Axis of Evil US-UK is white, from Whitehall to the White House, and its holy-communion-taking leaders go about their normal business of stealing the resources, demonising and bombing nations of darker skin colour.[20] Admittedly, after Vietnam, seven whole years went by, without America bombing another nation. Yes, its hard to believe, isn't it?

Bali

The Bali bomb of 2002 was a success, because it got the Aussies into the war - that was its aim. There could be a deeper purpose here involved, in that Bali had an intact and quite spiritual culture, such that its people were not generally living in fear. The NWO does not like that. Maybe, the Balinese needed to be taught a lesson. The discerning Canadian scholar Michael Chossudovsky found that

like September 11th, the Kuta [Bali] bombing was probably either an American (CIA) or an Israeli (Mossad) operation (or perhaps a combined CIA/Mossad operation) ... Three days before the Bali bombings of October 1st, the Australian press published several reports pointing to an imminent terrorist attack. These reports were based on statements of the Director of the Australian Strategic Policy Institute, Mr. Aldo Borgu ... The October 1st Bali bombing occurred barely a few days after the holding of a special meeting of The Council of Australian Governments in Canberra, during which the State premiers agreed to the adoption of far-reaching antiterrorist measures.

It is the anti-terror chiefs who enable the event to happen.

Australia, 13th October, 2005:

Last night, the biggest fraud in the history of Australia was disclosed to the Australian people on TV. The Former President of Indonesia (who is widely known in Indonesia as the only honest Indonesian politician there) directly stated in no uncertain terms that the Indonesian police and/or military had

perpetrated the infamous Bali bombing in which over 200 people were killed...about half of them Australians. To remind you, this is the blind former President who is honest and religious and beyond the possibility of being corrupted... In a one hour documentary/research/investigative program on SBS-TV Date-Line program last night, all the fabricated cover-up story about 'terrorist Moslem Organizations' in Indonesia fell apart. They do not exist! They were all created by Indonesian Military Intelligence! The Indonesian Generals are corrupt, they boasted on TV that they got 50 million dollars from the Americans, in order to 'fight terrorism'. In reality, they created 'terrorism' and pocketed the money

This Australian TV program endorsed the view advocated by the late, great Joe Vials, who had resided in Australia:

It was late on Wednesday 15 November, just one day before the special Hindu cleansing ceremony of the Bali bombsite in Kuta Beach, that the local Indonesian police chief thoughtfully paraded "prime suspect" Amrozi in front of the assembled media pack. The fact that Amrozi was clearly disoriented, rambling, as high as a kite on drugs and separated from western journalists by a thick glass wall, was apparently not a problem for our sacred guardians of the truth.

Despite the obvious audio impediments and complete lack of direct access, Australian and Singaporean media outlets were nonetheless able to "accurately" interpret Amrozi's muffled and garbled mixture of Javanese and Indonesian, to mean that he confessed to killing nearly 300 people at Kuta Beach, with a minivan full of potassium chlorate detergent stolen from Java.

Not only that, but as Amrozi peered hopefully through a thick psychedelic haze to wave cheerfully at the television cameras, we were further asked to believe he claimed to enjoy killing people, wanted to kill some more, and in particular wanted to kill lots and lots of Americans. So thick was the psychedelic fog surrounding him, Amrozi completely forgot that the punishment for "confessing" to such crimes in Indonesia is death by firing squad.

Needless to say, the entire media event was contrived rubbish, but it served the purpose for which it was mounted, i.e. to drive increased levels of Australian hatred against Amrozi, and through him against all Muslims across south east Asia.

The Bali bomb left a huge crater in the road, which IRA bombs planted in cars had never done, Vials pointed out. A whole lot of concrete around it was just vapourised leaving twisted steel, up to 50 feet away. That is a recurrent theme in New World Order events: special devices from the necro-technocrats.

July 7th

On 20th November 2003, Bush on his visit to London had become trapped in Buckingham palace where he was staying. Anger on the streets of London prevented him from being able to even wave at a crowd. At last, tomorrow's headlines were going to be about the US President having to cancel all British engagements due to public indignation! That couldn't be allowed to happen of course, the NWO was not about to fall apart just like that: he and Blair were rescued by a bomb - in Istanbul, in a nearly empty British embassy.[21] The next day the news was, B & B walk tall defying terror, while foolish peace-protesters fail to apprehend, etc.

On his next visit, two years later, it was London's turn. Bush arrived on July 6th, shook hands with Blair, and then, as on the previous occasion, a day later the bombs went off. Mayor Ken had appointed top former CIA executive Bob Kiley (member of the US Council of Foreign Relations) as London Transport's Director, and put the Israeli-based firm Verint Systems Ltd in charge of Underground security, so it all went smoothly. The G8 summit was successfully aborted, the hopes of the world to 'make poverty history' successfully sidelined, plus in the month after a whole raft of civil liberties were successfully withdrawn from us, the British people. Terror works – state terror, that is.

We live in a self-bombing nation. The central issue, not easy to formulate, concerns the nature of evil. Ordinary folk can believe in desperate Muslims immolating themselves. Let's not go into why this is credible – whereas, say, Afro-Caribbeans doing so would not be very credible – and sages like

Mr Galloway can tell us that this is due to their despair over our bombing their nations. This is very, very reasonable but it happens not to be true. However hard one tries, one simply cannot find real evidence of 'suicide bombers' anywhere in London that morning, whereas, there are good reasons for believing that bombs were placed under the carriages on the morning of July 7th.

Most people are just not willing to credit elements of their government with complicity in domestic terror. Let us here aspire to calm and factual debate, and note that, on days before and after the anniversary of July 7th in 2006, Sky News and BBC News both continually presented images of three of the four alleged-bombers in their visit to London on June 28th 2005, as recorded by CCTV cameras at Luton and King's Cross Thameslink. The time-stamps had been removed to make them look as if they had been shot on July 7th, ten days later. Three of the four suspects had indeed visited London on June 28th for a day-trip, whereas (I suggest) this may not have happened on July 7th. Al-Qaeda (assuming for a moment that it did really exist) cannot do this, cannot make the BBC show fake CCTV footage for the purpose of deluding the British people. The stunt was successful, in that around half of Londoners came to believe that they had seen CCTV footage of the 'four' in London on July 7th – whereas in reality no such footage exists, or at least has never been shown. We live in a world where discerning what is real and what is not has become rather difficult and where seeing is no longer believing. That is partly because the notion of evil would be too awful, I mean whoever would want to frame four Muslim youngsters who cannot defend themselves, with bogus film played and replayed in the background to ... news reports? Who would? Well, let's change the subject!

A year after July 7th, the Home Secretary finally admitted to Parliament that the 7.40 am train from Luton to King's Cross had not run that morning. The Official Narrative had claimed to have CCTV coverage of the lads getting onto that train, plus witnesses in the train who noticed them, four Muslims with large rucksacks – then finally, after a year we are told, there was no such train. It happened that all the trains from Luton to London that morning were severely delayed due to an unexpected accident, which meant that there was no other train that would have gotten them between the announced CCTV pictures at Luton and King's Cross. We would all like to have a Public Enquiry but the powers that be will make sure we do not get that. We the public cannot be allowed it, the truth cannot be allowed to come out.

By Tuesday, 11th July 2005, police claimed to have identified the remains of altogether nine bodies, either from 'forensic evidence' or I.D. remaining nearby, and this happened to include all four of the Muslim 'bombers.' Quickly, the trail led up North to Leeds. How clever the police were! But ... if there were 56 bodies altogether, that count taken on the 11th is mathematically impossible. From a mere one-sixth of the total victims, that all four of the previously-unsuspected perpetrators should happen to be included? It is far too improbable. That is proof of the fabricated nature of the official July 7th story [22].

The public are shown what was a photoshopped forgery (of four alleged bombers entering Luton station), but have in general failed to notice this. Such a failure could result from watching too much telly, whereas it would be preferable to meet up in a group to discuss these matters. We are bombarded with untruth through our media, because journalists print what they are told, leaked from unattributed sources, and cannot spend a few days in listening to both sides' point of view, but have to commit themselves into print the next morning. We therefore live in a society where the truth that matters can be found on the Web, as an expression of the collective intelligence of the human race, whereas it is hardly to be found in a newspaper. A politician standing up on his hind legs and braying about the Islamic terror menace can be fairly confident of ensuing news reports.

July 7th needs to be understood in the wake of 9/11, and this is primarily so in regard to the terror-drill that was going on at the time of this event, using the same tube stations, and the same morning – Peter Power's exercise; the terror-drill metamorphosed into the real thing, just as did the war-games and terror drills on the morning of 9/11: planes flying into buildings on the morning of 9/11, blips on radar screens with operators unable to tell whether they were real planes or just part of the game-simulation, as the game played out in USAF exercise-drills became real. 'Is this part of the

exercise?' asked the NORAD official, at about 9.20 am, on September 11th, on hearing of the multiple hijackings. The perpetrators get the cover which they need. The number 30 bus blows up on July 7th, not on its route, after having stopped, not at a bus-stop, in Tavistock Square, all its CCTV cameras having been switched off: its whole top neatly lifts off.

For both events the world was informed as to who had done it on the very day they happened – and then, right after, were assured that no public enquiry into the event would be necessary. Bin Laden had done it, as Bush told America at six o'clock on 9/11, and likewise Britons were told by Blair that Muslims were responsible for the July 7th event, at 6 o'clock that same day. Both events used the same script, whereby an enquiry would divert essential resources from the 'war on terror' that had to be fought.

Tony Gosling has slammed the BBC over its reporting:

July 7th: Through systematic bias and a criminally complicit government the BBC, which the public is legally forced to fund, has turned on us and become an accessory to the crime. Despite the wealth of evidence to the contrary, the Corporation's misleading and partial coverage is that of the discredited Metropolitan Police and MI5 anonymous 'narrative' line of unproven but 'obvious' Islamic guilt. After London's most devastating attack since the blitz, Londoners are told there will be no enquiry and to: 'trust us, they're suicide bombers'.

The Corporation crossed a lethal professional line when it decided to refer to the four 7/7 suspects as 'suicide bombers'. By rubber-stamping Muslim guilt here in the UK, ignoring Peter Power/Visor Consultants' parallel exercise; the Netanyahu/Scotland Yard warning and other hard facts, the Corporation has taken on a leading role in an anti-Muslim pogrom. BBC actions now fly in the face of its motto carved on Broadcasting House, 'Nation Shall Speak Peace Unto Nation', as its one-sided presentation of the facts of modern terrorism assumes a Neo-Con/Zionist case for a religious war against Islam and for Islamic oil. The fact that such an extraordinary proportion of the corporation, and other mainstream media's senior staff, are Jewish begins to look less a result of 'fears of another Jewish holocaust' or 'individual merit' and more a Pro-Israeli, anti-Arab bias which has urgently to be corrected. A professional journalistic blunder is committed with almost every new story of a 'suicide bomber'.

A year after the event, on the anniversary, we were told that Mohammed Khan's Will has mysteriously appeared, and that it declared his intention of self-martyrdom. Naturally, no-one could be shown it. The family had first to be removed from the public domain, for such a trick to work. Journalists reported the story, with no hint of irony. Have you ever heard of such a wheeze? (The 30-year old Khan, alleged mastermind, was a gentle, peace-loving soul, who did much for urban regeneration, educating the underprivileged and getting youngsters off drugs [23]) Yes, you probably have: four years earlier, the will of Mohammed Atta had been allegedly found in baggage somehow prepared for the 'suicide plane' but left behind, declaring his intent to martyr himself. Naturally, no-one got to see it. So there is a similarity of narrative here, with the same low-credibility, B-movie scriptwriters at work. Atta wasn't on the plane just as Khan wasn't in London. Both had the important name, 'Mohammed.'

Many folk believe they have been shown pictures of the alleged 19 hijackers or at least of Mohammed Atta in one of the airports involved on 9/11 eg Logan airport at Boston. They haven't – there were no pictures of any hijackers at any of the airports in question, nor evidence for any of them having been on the planes except for dodgy phone calls. What the public were shown instead was Atta at Portland Airport, Oregon on his way to Boston. Furthermore, analysis of the time-stamp shows the image not to have been taken that morning but probably on the previous day.[24] This is all distinctly comparable to the July 7th setup four years later, where a faked photo at Luton was all that was offered to the public, with efforts made to lull them into believing that they had seen images of the four in London on that day.

I suggest that Britain has no Muslim terror threat, or nothing worth speaking of. It has angry Muslims,

because we keep bombing their nations, but regrettably and all too often British Muslims accept the blame and guilt they are offered. Muslims especially within the Stop the War movement should take a stand on this issue. From white, anti-war campaigners one hears the identical refrain, 'O, I'm not really into conspiracy theories' which translated means 'Shut up and take the blame.' Do you really want to take all that guilt? Brits always need to have the Enemy out there, against whom they need to unite and fight. Can one imagine the British people without the Enemy?

Thierry Meysaan,[25] manager of the French Voltaire Network intelligence service, has recently surveyed false-flag terror events in South America. Israeli agents had usually caused them, he found, while Muslims got blamed:

It is lamentable to be able to assert that all the enquiries into the terrorist attacks imputed to Muslims are inconclusive, whether it is a case of Buenos Aires, New York, Casablanca, Madrid or London. Although that doesn't prevent the neo-conservative governments and their "experts" from drawing sweeping conclusions. The US has a habit of modifying retrospectively the perpetrators of terrorist attacks against themselves according to their real or imagined adversary of the moment. Now they are rewriting the history of other peoples' terrorist attacks. Finally, it is advisable to be vigilant with regard to warmongers who want to evoke the Buenos Aires attacks in order to categorize some or other group or government as "terrorist" and call for their eradication.

Do you remember the brief period of the present (Labour) government when British soldiers were not out overseas shooting people, in early 2001? They were busy instead slaughtering four million farm animals for a mild, transient disease. The driving force behind Prime Minister Blair has been the need for bloody carnage, preferably of brown skin. Without this he would have been lost, like a heroin addict without a fix. He was re-elected after endorsing use of torture (at Guantanamo) in the House of Commons, let's remember that (He was re-elected with only 22% of the electorate voting for him, but, hey, that's democracy). Could something blossom within the British people whereby they decide to live without the Enemy, investing wealth in health and education rather than giving it to the killers? Let's try to find an answer despite the statues of London - nearly all of them, white male killers. It could only be done IMO if the deceptive false-flag terror events are unmasked for what they are. We need a political 'Truth' movement capable of doing this.

The media will print any false-flag terror story from an official source. Our last Table can be reduced to merely two items – after all they are events which did not even happen:

Table 4: 'Foiled' false terror in UK

Canary Wharf 20/11/2004

9 Planes Heathrow 10/08/2006

The Liquid Bomb Hoax

There are a lot of other such events, sordid and nasty packs of lies, such as the 'Ricin plot' used to jolly up the British people for the Iraq war, but you might well not want to remember them. Britain's Foreign Office has its motto 'Any bloody lie will do' and it produces them quite frequently. These are cheap events because nothing actually happens, nobody dies, but fear is (hopefully) generated. The dastardly plot to 'blow up 9 US-bound airliners' flying out of Heathrow by fiendish Al-Qaeda terrorists was unmasked just in time (no tickets, no bombs, not even passports? Never mind, put 'em in jail) and then a Guardian survey showed that only 20% of the British people believed the story! Hundreds of millions of dollars were lost over airport delays etc., due to ultra-tight security that suddenly became necessary. This nine-planes episode, widely compared to 9/11, had the important function of softening up the public during Israel's act of naked aggression against Lebanon. A progenitor of this event was MI5's bland announcement two years earlier that it had foiled a sinister plot by Al-Qaeda to fly a plane out of Heathrow and crash it into Canary Wharf. MI5 did not feel obliged to produce any scrap of evidence for this slur upon British Muslims. The tale had come from 'a collusion of imaginative government lobbyists and lapdog media collaborators,' as Prison Planet noted.

Both Madrid and July 7th had military-grade explosive actually used, with later stories brewed up about amateur explosives which local 'terror-groups' could supposedly make. Still less credible was the liquid explosive used on 10th August, 2006 - or rather, which might have been intended to be used - to be mixed in the plane's toilet; a story well discredited by munitions expert Lt. Col. Wilde www.propagandamatrix.com/articles/September2006/180906_b_terror.htm . A phantom is woven together, having a mere semblance of being, to instill fear. The people have to live in fear – that is the fundamental axiom of modern politics. The deaths are real, the perps walk away scott-free, and the phantom of 'Muslim terror' hangs in the air.

An 'imminent' event was about to bring 'mass murder on an unbelievable scale,' according to the Deputy Chief of the Metropolitan Police in London. 10th August 2006 and 9/11 comparisons were all over the front pages. Strangely, it appeared that Bush and Blair had been discussing the event a few days before the arrests were made. Britain's Home Secretary complained that some people still "don't get" why we had to abandon traditional liberties. Citizens were being arrested because they might have been intending to do something. Uh-huh. 'We will now never know if any of those arrested would have gone on to make a bomb or buy a plane ticket' - quoting from the sole sensible critique to appear in the UK media, by Craig Murray in The Guardian. Nafeez Ahmed posted up the definitive exposé of this fabricated tale, whose source was one Rashid Rauf in Pakistan under torture <http://nafeez.blogspot.com/2006/08/truth-about-terror-plot-and-new-pse-udo.html> . 'Among the details attributed to Rauf is the idea that the plotters intended to mix a "sports drink" with a gel-like "peroxide-based paste" to create a chemical explosive that "could be ignited with an MP3 player or cell phone." A week or so after posting this, Ahmed's uncle was gunned down in Pakistan in front of his wife and kids. Ahmed has published the sole scholarly book about the July 7th bombings [26], plus a highly regarded one about 9/11 [27], and his several books contain in-depth analyses of how 'Al-Qaeda' groups have been set up and sustained by the CIA and MI6. He is the only British academic to publish important material on our theme. As a Muslim daring to speak out on these vital issues, he may have had to pay a price.

All through the Cold War, the US/UK had projected its own diabolical designs upon the Other beyond the Urals: whatever new megadeath weapon was to be assembled, it had to be done out of prudence, because the Other was maybe already doing it. This delusion-logic mandated politicians to give ever more funding to the military, whose 'intelligence' was unaccountable and not transparent. Actually the US/UK lead more or less every step of the nuclear arms race. Old fogeys will recall how Harold Wilson was elected in 1964 on a ban-the-bomb pledge. CND-ers were ecstatic, but it didn't last long: soon after entering No.10, Harold Wilson was 'turned' by a single conversation with Lord Zuckermann, presumably about the 'realities' of power, i.e. the need for a hate-and-fear image.

Deep down, Britons experience a collective reassurance from that hate-and-fear image. They have been reared on films in which the enemy threatens our whole way of life, and has to be blasted to bits in the last reel, and ordinary citizens are actually grateful to their leader for telling them who to hate and fear – just as George Orwell predicted. Actually this country has got no need of an enemy. Ever wonder why all the hopes and dreams of your youth, about socialism as the sharing out of our common-wealth, whereby we could be happy together, yes that's right be happy – why none of that ever happened? Who stole your dreams away and gave you all these nightmares? Muslim terror groups? Nope, try harder.

Notes

1. Webster Tarpley, 9/11 Synthetic Terror, Made in USA 2005, p.59.
2. The first July 7th bomb was at Aldgate station, and this went off at 8.49 am, i.e. 11 minutes to 9; Madrid was 911 days after 9/11.
- [3. 'For several decades there has been an unrelenting demonisation of the Muslim world in the American media.' Gore Vidal, Perpetual War for Perpetual Peace, 2002, p.4.
4. Tarpley, on the Madrid bombings: '... the terrorist attacks had failed to produce the expected effects. The Washington consensus had previously been that terrorism would infallibly stampede the

voters of any country into voting for the incumbent, but this time the anti-Bush challenger was the beneficiary.' Ref. 1, p.400.

5. Tarpley (ref. 1), p.60.

6. In the sense of William Blum's Killing Hope: US Military and CIA Interventions Since World War II, 1995.

7. Daniele Ganser, NATO's Secret Armies, Operation Gladio and terrorism in Western Europe.

8.(12) At Madrid, those who had allegedly supplied the explosives were found to have links with a senior police bomb squad: 911 Revealed, p.188.

9. George Orwell, 1984, p. 127.

10. This did not prevent Britain from supplying intelligence help in that war, via MI6 and SAS troops, plus bomb and napalm-delivery air flights: Mark Curtis, Web of Deceit, Britain's Real role in the World, 2003, 105.

11. Stephen Dorrill, MI6 50 Years of Special Operations p.799, quoted Curtis, p.75.

12. As well as Tarpley's masterpiece, widely regarded as the best work on 9/11, books that will sit proudly on your shelf are: David Ray Griffin, The New Pearl Harbour, 2004, Thierry Meyssan 9/11 The Big Lie, 2002, Mike Ruppert Crossing the Rubicon, 2004, and (a British book) Ian Henshall and Rowland Morgan 9/11 Revealed, 2005.

13. The final word on Timothy McVeigh, executed for the Oklahoma bomb, is said to be Gore Vidal's Perpetual war for Perpetual peace, how we got to be so hated NY, 2002.

14. Its regarded as having been a National Security Council (NSC) operation, www.newswithviews.com/Briley/Patrick17.htm

15. Len Bracken, The Shadow government, 9-11 and State Terror, 2004 Adventures Unlimited press III.

16. A Pentagon report a year after concluded that five separate bombs inside the building had caused the explosion, with McVeigh having a 'peripheral' role as a 'useful idiot:' Vidal, p.120.

17. Annie Machon, Spies, lies and Whistleblowers MI5, MI6 and the Shayler Affair Sussex 2005 Ch.14.

18. Two Palestinians were convicted. They have complained that 'an unfair trial was followed, after a long wait, by an unfair appeal. This was a political trial from day one and we are totally innocent ... We were only convenient scapegoats.' www.innocent.org.uk/cases/botmehalami/index.html

19. These are years when bombings began, not how long they lasted. Source: William Blum, Rogue State: A Guide to the World's Only Superpower, 2000.

20. A fine 'comic' here is 'Addicted to War, Why the US can't kick Militarism' by Joel Andreas, Canada, 2003: 'Addicted to War is being used as a textbook by many high school and college teachers.' (Foreword).

21. For CIA and Mossad warnings of the HSBC and British consul targetings, see: www.libertythink.com/2003/11/mi6-foreknowledge-in-istanbul-bombings.html.

22. My 'The Improbability of July 7th' was on the now-crashed Team 8+ site.

23. That Khan essay is by the author.

24. Rowland Morgan, Flight 93 Revealed 2006, p.95.

25. Discerning readers may wish to have Meyssan's 9/11 The big Lie ('L'effroyable Imposture, it sounds better in French), 2002, on their bookshelf.

26. Nafeez Ahmed, The London Bombings, 2006.

27. Nafeez Ahmed, The War on Freedom, 2003.

Eternal War is a Warframe Augment Mod for Valkyr that extends Warcry's duration for each melee kill. Can be acquired by attaining the rank of Partner under The Perrin Sequence, or the rank of Flawless under New Loka, and spending \$25,000 to purchase. The duration increase per enemy killed is affected by Ability Duration. Kills extend the active duration of Warcry up to a maximum of double the ability's duration after mods. Kills also extend Warcry's duration for allies. This war is the "war on terrorism", the war on Afghanistan and Iraq, the war on Libya, the "war on the Islamic State" etc. is the same single war, all rooted in the Big Lie of 9/11. No corner of the world has escaped the reach of this still-growing horror. No individual is untouched by its flames. Thousands upon thousands have been murdered. Fourteen years and countless false flag terror operations later, the US government is on the verge of toppling Syria using Al-Qaeda military-intelligence assets. The US now openly supports and arms Al-Qaeda all over the world. The very same Al-Qaeda terrorists that were "responsible for 9/11" are the West's finest foot soldiers and military-intelligence assets. Al-Qaeda effectively controls two-thirds of Syria for the Anglo-American empire. This war is the "war on terrorism", the war on Afghanistan and Iraq, the war on Libya, the "war on the Islamic State" etc. is the same single war, all rooted in the Big Lie of 9/11. No corner of the world has escaped the reach of this still-growing horror. No individual is untouched by its flames. Fourteen years and countless false flag terror operations later, the US government is on the verge of toppling Syria using Al-Qaeda military-intelligence assets. The US now openly supports and arms Al-Qaeda all over the world. The very same Al-Qaeda terrorists that were "responsible for 9/11" are the West's finest foot soldiers and military-intelligence assets.