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Review

“The Politics of Health Policy constitutes an important contribution to the debate of a crucial issue. However, the reach of Professor Navarro's book goes well beyond health policy: it is also a powerful and well-documented rebuttal of the many obfuscations which mask the reality of politics in the United States. It offers a sustained and effective challenge to conventional thinking and deserves very close attention.” Ralph Miliband

“This book should become a very interesting reference in the growing literature of the welfare state. Navarro's way of analyzing health and social policy issues, while well accepted in Europe, is not frequently heard in the US. His is a strong voice of a committed social reformer speaking with the force of an empirical scientist.” Professor Goran Therborn, Gothenburg University

Product Description

This book analyzes the federal health policies followed by Reagan, Bush, and Clinton and by the Democratic-controlled Congress. The book shows the connection between the crisis of health care and the correlation of class forces in America. He also explains and evaluates the health care reforms put forward by the Clinton administration, describing the political process and forces behind those reforms.

The book challenges the major positions held in the social and political sciences regarding the nature of power in western capitalist developed countries and its impact on public policy. In great detail and with extensive documentation, the text shows how the welfare state continues to be extremely popular, that the causes of our economic predicament cannot be attributed to the welfare state and that class, continues to have an undiminished relevance in explaining public policies in general and health policies in particular.

From the Back Cover

This book analyzes the federal health policies followed by Reagan, Bush, and Clinton and by the Democratic-controlled Congress. The book shows the connection between the crisis of health care and the correlation of class forces in America. He also explains and evaluates the health care reforms put forward by the Clinton administration, describing the political process and forces behind those reforms.

The book challenges the major positions held in the social and political sciences regarding the nature of power in western capitalist developed countries and its impact on public policy. In great detail and with extensive documentation, the text shows how the welfare state continues to be extremely popular, that the causes of our economic predicament cannot be attributed to the welfare state and that class, continues to have an undiminished relevance in explaining public policies in general and health policies in particular.
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