

What are the roles of Carbapenems, in an Institution Specific Epidemiological Antibigram, in East Trinidad?

RP Nagassar¹, RJ Bridgelal-Nagassar²

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This document provides an overview of the development of an institution specific epidemiological antibiogram. Emphasis was on last line antibiotics, such as carbapenems.

Methods: Antibiograms, for the year 2013, of various organisms were retrieved from the computerized database of the Microscan© [Siemens Healthcare] at the microbiology laboratory, of the Sangre Grande Hospital in East Trinidad, West Indies. This was divided into blood and urine specimen antibiograms. All wards and hospital clinics were included. A twenty [20] percent [%], cut off was used to determine that a particular antibiotic or antibiotic class could be used for empiric therapy. All organisms were not chosen. Only the most common and clinically relevant were chosen.

Results: Blood: *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, *Proteus mirabilis* : Imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem showed greater than 80% sensitivity, respectively. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, levofloxacin and tazobactam/piperacillin showed 100%, 80%, 80%, 100% and 100% sensitivity, respectively. Urines: *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, *Proteus mirabilis*: Imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem, were greater than 80 % sensitive. *Enterobacter cloacae*: Imipenem, meropenem were 92%, 100% sensitive. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: tazobactam-piperacillin and amikacin were both 85% susceptible.. *Acinetobacter baumannii/haemolyticus*: All antibiotics were above the 20 % resistance threshold.

Conclusion: Patient specific antibiograms and unit specific trends [e.g. ICU, surgical wards, and outpatient clinic] can be used as a guide in patients with less severe infections. Carbapenems can still be used empirically, in east Trinidad, for sepsis.

Keywords: Antibiogram, Epidemiological antibiogram, Resistance, Trinidad

From: ¹Eastern Regional Health Authority, Trinidad and Tobago, and ²Primary Care Physician, North West Regional Health Authority, Trinidad and Tobago.

Correspondence: Dr RP Nagassar, Eastern Regional Health Authority, Trinidad and Tobago, West Indies. E-mail: rpnagassar@gmail.com

1

INTRODUCTION

Empiric antibiotic therapy should be based on, among other things [1] surveillance data within the hospital or community, [2] individualization [3] use of unit based therapy [i.e. based on the antibiograms and surveillance data of a particular ward] (1).

This document gives general antimicrobial surveillance trends for urine and blood specimens. It does not provide data on all organisms, but on the most common and most clinically significant pathogens.

Data has shown that resistance rates of 3%-20% have been used to decide that an antibiotic is unsuitable for empiric therapy. This varies by antibiotic and type of infection clinical, in vitro data and mathematical modeling. For this document we will use a threshold of 20% resistance to define an antibiotic as unsuitable for first line therapy (2,3).

It is important to develop guidelines which can be useful for a particular institution or country. Antibiograms in one country may differ from that of another country. Thus guidelines for one country or institution may not be applicable to another. This epidemiological antibiogram, was prepared for a hospital in east, Trinidad.

Stelling and Sosa of The Alliance for the Prudent Use of Antibiotics, have indicated that in preparing epidemiologic antibiograms, that if resistance rates are above the recommended thresholds for common and important organisms, health professionals should be particularly attentive to monitoring for treatment failures and the need to revise formularies and treatment guidelines (3). This document is intended to help other hospitals prepare their own epidemiological antibiograms.

METHODOLOGY

Antibiograms, for the year 2013, of various organisms were retrieved from the computerized database of the Microscan[®] [Siemens Healthcare] at the microbiology laboratory, of the Sangre Grande County Hospital in East Trinidad, West Indies. This was divided into blood and urine specimen antibiograms. All wards and hospital clinics were included.

A twenty [20] percent [%], cut off was used to determine that a particular antibiotic or antibiotic class could be used for empiric therapy.

All organisms were not chosen. Only the most common and clinically relevant were chosen.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the Eastern Regional Health Authority's ethics committee.

RESULTS

BLOOD: Gram Negative Bacteria: For 2013 1] 38, *Escherichia coli* 2] 15, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 3] 8, *Proteus mirabilis* and 4] 5, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were isolated from all wards and departments.

Escherichia coli: Imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem and amikacin showed 100%, 100%, 95% and 92% sensitivity, respectively. All other antibiotics exceeded the 20% resistance threshold.

Klebsiella pneumonia: Imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem and amikacin showed 100%, 100%, 93% and 100% sensitivity, respectively. All other antibiotics exceeded the 20% resistance threshold. *Proteus mirabilis:* Imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem, amikacin, co-amoxiclav, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, cefepime, cefuroxime, levofloxacin, tazobactam-piperacillin and

trimetoprim-sulfamethoxazole showed sensitivities of 88%, 100%, 88%, 100%, 88%, 88%, 88%, 88%, 88%, 88% and 88% respectively. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Amikacin, ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, levofloxacin and tazobactam/piperacillin showed 100%, 100%, 80%, 80%, 100% and 100% sensitivity, respectively. The carbapenems were actually greater than 20% resistant, for this organism.

Gram Positive Bacteria: For 2013 1] 40, *S. aureus* 2] 6, Streptococcus Group B 3] 11, *Enterococcus* spp. and 4] 1, *Listeria monocytogenes* were isolated from all blood culture from all wards and departments. *S. aureus*: Trimetoprim/sulfamethoxazole, gentamicin and tetracycline were 85%, 82% and 88% sensitive respectively. Linezolid, Synercid and vancomycin were 90%, 100% and approximately greater than 80% sensitive respectively. Streptococcus Group B: Ampicillin, penicillin and clindamycin were 83%, 83% and 100% sensitive, respectively. *Listeria monocytogenes*: Ampicillin and penicillin were both 100% sensitive. *Enterococcus* spp.: All *Enterococcus* spp. were greater than 80% sensitive to ampicillin, vancomycin and linezolid.

URINES: Gram Negative Bacteria: For 2013 1] 259, *Escherichia coli*, 2] 75, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 3] 27, *Proteus mirabilis* 4] 20, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 5] 13, *Enterobacter cloacae* 6] 9, *Acinetobacter baumannii/haemolyticus*.

Escherichia coli: Imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem, amikacin, gentamicin and tazobactam/piperacillin were 98%, 100%, 95%, 93%, 83%, 83% sensitive, respectively. All other antibiotics exceeded the 20% resistance threshold. *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: Imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem and amikacin were 99%, 100%, 97%, 100% sensitive, respectively. All other antibiotics exceeded the 20% resistance threshold. *Proteus mirabilis*: Imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem, amikacin, co-amoxiclav, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, cefepime, cefuroxime, levofloxacin, tazobactam-piperacillin and trimetoprim-sulfamethoxazole, gentamicin

showed 96%, 100%, 93%, 81%, 100%, 85%, 85%, 85%, 85%, 93%, 93%, 81%, 89% sensitivity, respectively. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: tazobactam-piperacillin and amikacin were both 85% susceptible. All other antibiotics exceeded the 20% resistance threshold, including the carbapenems. *Enterobacter cloacae*: Imipenem, meropenem, amikacin were 92%, 100% and 85% sensitive, respectively. All other antibiotics exceeded the 20% resistance threshold.

Acinetobacter baumannii/haemolyticus: All antibiotics were above the 20 % resistance thresholds.

The tetracyclines were most sensitive, at 67% sensitivity. **Gram Positive Bacteria:** For 2013 1] 21, *Enterococcus faecalis* 2] 14, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* 3] 11, *Staphylococcus aureus* were isolated from all wards and departments. *Enterococcus faecalis*: Ampicillin and vancomycin were 90% and 95% sensitive, respectively. *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*: Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, gentamicin were 100% and 86% sensitive, respectively.

Staphylococcus aureus: Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, gentamicin, were 100% and 82% sensitive.

DISCUSSION

Blood: In general carbapenems appear useful as first line empiric therapy, in blood stream infections, except if *P. aeruginosa* is suspected. Especially if combination therapy is needed with a beta-lactam antibiotic (4,5). Aminoglycosides or fluoroquinolones may be of better use empirically, for synergy. For empiric therapy, vancomycin and linezolid does not have to be first line, empirically. Ampicillin and Gentamicin appears adequate. If *S. aureus* is suspected, vancomycin may be considered with a view to de-escalate, based on the patient's antibiogram or department specific data.

Urines: In general carbapenems or tazobactam-piperacillin appear useful as first line empiric therapy, except if *Acinetobacter baumannii/haemolyticus* is suspected. In this case aminoglycosides or flouoroquinolones may be of better use empirically, for synergy. In general trimethoprim/sulfametoxazole and gentamicin appear useful as first line empiric therapy for urinary tract infection caused by Gram positive cocci. Thus vancomycin and linezolid can be reserved.

Broad spectrum antibiotics recommended in this guide should be used initially, only in critically ill patients, were Surviving Sepsis Guidelines recommend starting antibiotics within the first few hours of diagnosis of sepsis (4). Patient specific antibiograms and unit specific trend [e.g. ICU, surgical wards, outpatient clinic] can be used as a guide in patients with less severe infections. Thus this recommendation should be tailored as appropriate (1). This will help reduce the development of resistance.

Vancomycin or linezolid are recommended as empiric therapy if Gram positive organisms are suspected. This should be used in critically ill patients, were Surviving Sepsis Guidelines recommend starting antibiotics within the first few hours of diagnosis of sepsis (4). Patient specific antibiograms and unit specific trend [e.g. ICU, surgical wards, outpatient clinic] can be used as a guide in patients with less severe infections.

Enterobacteriaceae, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii/haemolyticus* appear to have developed significant resistance. Thus carbapenems, which should be reserved as last line agents, currently have to be used as first line agents. This is a worrying phenomenon. In fact carbapenem resistant enterobacteriaciae have been highlighted by the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, in their publication, Guidance for Control of Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (7).

Institutional prevention programs, in east Trinidad, are being implemented to prevent the development of wide spread carbapenem resistance. This includes hand hygiene compliance, antibiotic stewardship, surveillance, early identification and reporting to the ward staff and other related activities. These strategies are being implemented in a “bundled care” approach (8).

Stelling and Sosa have shown that thresholds such as 3%, 5%, 10% and 20% can be used, this especially depends on the bacteria and antimicrobial being considered (3,9). For Gram negative and Gram positive organisms used, in preparing this epidemiological antibiogram, any resistance threshold less than 20 % would not have been feasible, for most Gram negative organisms. Thus it can be seen that the problem of antibiotic resistance in east Trinidad is of great significance. It is thus recommended that a figure such as 20%, for a resistance threshold, be used initially and adjust it in 5% increments, to achieve an adequate assessment of the utility of antimicrobials in a particular setting. Vancomycin and linezolid’s empiric use can be preserved for bacteremia or septicemia and bacteriuria.

Lastly, we should note that we should correlate microbiological data with clinical data. This is to ensure we treat the patient and not the culture. A patient may have a positive culture but be asymptomatic. Alternatively a non-pathogenic bacterium may be isolated.

REFERENCES

1. Paterson DL. Impact of Antibiotic Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacilli on Empirical and Definitive Antibiotic Therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008; 47:S14-20.
2. Gupta K, Hooton TM, Kurt GN. International Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Acute Uncomplicated Cystitis and Pyelonephritis in Women: A 2010 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011; 52(5):e103-e120.
3. Stelling J, Sosa A. Framework for Use of Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance in the Development of Standard Treatment Guidelines [Internet]. 2003. Cited [16th April 2015]. Available from: http://www.tufts.edu/med/apua/policy/apua_action_3_144427577.pdf
4. Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2012. *Crit Care Med*. 2013; 41:580–637.
5. Tamma PD, Cosgrove SE, Maragakis LL. Combination therapy for treatment of infections with gram-negative bacteria. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2012 Jul; 25(3):450-70.
6. Kmeid JG, Youssef MM, Kanafani ZA, Kanj SS. Combination therapy for Gram-negative bacteria: what is the evidence? *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*. 2013 Dec; 11(12):1355-62.
7. National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion. Guidance for Control of Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. 2012. Cited [24th April 2015]. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/cre/CRE-guidance-508.pdf>
8. National Health and Medical Research Council. Care bundles. Australian Guidelines for

the Prevention and Control of Infection in Healthcare [Internet]. 2010. Cited [16th April 2015]. Available from: <https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/book/australian-guidelines-prevention-and-control-infection-healthcare-2010/c1-5-1-care-bundles>

9. Tapsall, J., Antimicrobial Resistance of *N. gonorrhoeae*. WHO Collaborating Centre for STD and HIV: Sydney, Australia [Internet]. 2001. Cited [16th April 2015]. Available from:
http://www.who.int/drugresistance/Antimicrobial_resistance_in_Neisseria_gonorrhoeae.pdf

Antibiograms - A growing trend in an era of antibiotic resistance.Â This big-picture antibiogram helps in individual cases by bridging the gap between when the infection is sampled and when the results come back from the lab. Often, a patient will be sick enough that waiting for those results would put them at tremendous risk. The doctor needs to choose the best empiric antibiotic therapy - the best treatment prior to receiving the individual lab report. A doctor can refer to the hospital antibiogram to see the trends in how susceptible that strain is to the antibiotic choices. If the pathogen susceptibility rate to a particular antibiotic is around 80%, the d